

# **Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2022-2025: Outcomes Summary Report**

## **Rutland**

February 2022

**Kajal Lad**

Public Health Business Partner

**Brydon Hurst**

Research & Insight Officer

Business Intelligence Service  
Chief Executive's Department  
Leicestershire County Council  
County Hall, Glenfield  
Leicester LE3 8RA

Tel 0116 305 6059

Email [kajal.lad@leics.gov.uk](mailto:kajal.lad@leics.gov.uk)  
[brydon.hurst@leics.gov.uk](mailto:brydon.hurst@leics.gov.uk)


Produced by the Business Intelligence Service at Leicestershire County Council.

Whilst every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the information contained within this report, Leicestershire County Council cannot be held responsible for any errors or omission relating to the data contained within the report.

# Purpose of Report

In line with the Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy (2022-2025), this report has been produced to support and monitor the performance of indicators that are linked to each priority area within the strategy. A dashboard of indicators has also been developed to aid discussion and monitor progress.

The Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy has six priority areas for action, with three cross cutting themes. The diagram below summarises the priorities and principles:



The outcomes summary report and dashboards will be updated on a quarterly basis to support the delivery of the Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy. It is important to note that the dashboard will continue to be developed as the strategy evolves and the delivery plan is developed.

The dashboard sets out, in relation to each indicator, the statistical significance compared to the overall England position or relevant service benchmark where appropriate. A rag rating of 'green' shows those that are performing better than the England value or benchmark and 'red' indicates worse than the England value or benchmark.

Appendix 1 provides more details on the similar areas to Rutland.

# Priority 1: Enabling the best start in life

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the enabling the best start in life priority, 5 are green, 17 are amber and 4 are red. One indicator has no England data for comparison.
- Rutland performed significantly worse than England/benchmark for the following four indicators:

**Children in care immunisations** - Rutland is ranked 16<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2020. The proportion of children in care for at least 12 months whose immunisations were up to date decreased from 69.6% in 2019 to 56.0% in 2020. Rutland has performed significantly worse than England since 2019.

**Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review** - Rutland is ranked 15<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2020/21. The proportion of children receiving a 12-month review has decreased from 86.2% in 2019/20 (where it performed statistically similar to the England average) to 37.0% in 2020/21.

**Proportion of new birth visits (NBVs) completed within 14 days** - Rutland is ranked 13<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2020/21. The proportion of NBVs completed within 14 days has decreased from 85.5% in 2019/20 (where it performed statistically similar to the England value) to 82.5% in 2020/21.

**Population vaccination coverage for HPV (one dose) for 12-13 years old (Males)** - Rutland is ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> out of 16 in 2019/20. The latest value for Rutland is 78.8% and is below the benchmarking goal of 80%.

- Of the five green indicators, Rutland ranks 1<sup>st</sup> (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators: Average Attainment 8 score, Year 6: Prevalence of overweight (including obesity) and School readiness: percentage of children achieving a good level of development at the end of reception.
- There are currently seven indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Low birth weight of term babies
  - A&E attendances (0-4 years)
  - Children in care immunisations
  - Neonatal mortality and stillbirth rate
  - Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review
  - Proportion of infants receiving a 6 to 8 week review
  - Percentage of 5 year olds with experience of visually obvious dental decay

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

- **Emergency admissions for children with lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI)\*** - Rutland performance for 2019/20 is 575.7 and is higher than the national rate which stands at 504.7. Rutland is ranked 11th out of 16 against peers.
- **Unplanned hospitalisation for asthma, diabetes and epilepsy in under 19's\*** - Rutland's performance for 2019/20 is 135.4 and is lower than the national rate which stands at 269.8. Rutland is ranked 1st out of 16 against peers.

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 1: The best start for life

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
C04 - Low birth weight of term babies	P	>=37 weeks g..	2019	4.5	16/16	1.3	4.5	2.9	▶	●
C09a - Reception: Prevalence of overweight (including obesity)	P	4-5 yrs	2019/20	23.1	10/14	18.2	25.8	23.0	▶	●
Estimated number of children and young people with mental d..	P	5-17 yrs	2017/18	752.2	1/14	752.2	9,588.2	Null	—	●
New referrals to secondary mental health services, per 100,0..	P	<18 yrs	2018/19	3,547.5	2/14	2,906.6	11,712.7	5,994.2	—	●
A&E attendances (0-4 years)	P	0-4 yrs	2018/19	663.1	16/16	351.3	663.1	655.3	▲	●
Admissions for lower respiratory tract infections in infants ag..	P	<1 yr	2019/20	774.0	5/16	490.2	1,284.4	717.1	▶	●
C05a - Baby's first feed breastmilk	P	Newborn	2018/19	77.6	4/16	83.2	63.0	67.4	—	●
Children in care immunisations	P	<18 yrs	2020	56.0	16/16	97.2	56.0	87.8	—	●
General fertility rate	F	15-44 yrs	2019	49.7	2/16	43.1	63.6	57.7	▶	●
Neonatal mortality and stillbirth rate	P	<28 days	2019	7.1	14/16	3.1	9.7	6.6	▶	●
Proportion of children receiving a 12-month review	P	1 yr	2020/21	37.0	15/16	95.1	13.1	76.1	—	●
Proportion of infants receiving a 6 to 8 week review	P	6-8 weeks	2020/21	76.4	14/16	99.4	13.6	80.2	—	●
Pupils with special educational needs (SEN): % of school pupil..	P	School age	2018	13.1	4/15	10.6	18.9	14.4	▶	●
Average Attainment 8 score	P	15-16 yrs	2019/20	55.8	1/16	55.8	46.6	50.2	—	●
C06 - Smoking status at time of delivery	F	All ages	2020/21	8.8	6/16	5.8	13.5	9.6	—	●
C07 - Proportion of New Birth Visits (NBVs) completed within ..	P	<14 days	2020/21	82.5	13/16	96.1	44.6	88.0	—	●
C08a - Child development: percentage of children achieving a ..	P	2-2.5 yrs	2020/21	80.9	11/16	92.3	59.9	82.9	—	●
C09b - Year 6: Prevalence of overweight (including obesity)	P	10-11 yrs	2019/20	26.6	1/13	26.6	33.4	35.2	▶	●
Children in care	P	<18 yrs	2020	55.0	9/16	38.0	107.0	67.0	▶	●
D04e - Population vaccination coverage - HPV vaccination coverage for one dose (12-13 years old)	F	12-13 yrs	2019/20	83.2	2/16	88.2	0.7	59.2	▶	●
	M	12-13 yrs	2019/20	78.8	2/16	79.6	1.4	54.4	—	●
E02 - Percentage of 5 year olds with experience of visually obv..	P	5 yrs	2018/19	25.3	9/10	13.1	31.9	23.4	—	●
Hospital admissions as a result of self-harm (10-24 years)	P	10-24 yrs	2019/20	330.5	2/16	291.7	707.1	439.2	▶	●
School pupils with social, emotional and mental health needs: ..	P	School age	2020	2.1	5/16	1.8	3.3	2.7	▶	●
B02a - School readiness: percentage of children achieving a go..	P	5 yrs	2018/19	77.8	1/16	77.8	70.3	71.8	▶	●
C11a - Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and delib..	P	0-4 yrs	2019/20	80.6	1/16	80.6	163.8	117.0	▶	●
C11a - Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and delib..	P	<15 yrs	2019/20	72.8	1/16	72.8	123.8	91.2	▶	●
E01 - Infant mortality rate	P	<1 yr	2018 - 20	3.4	11/16	2.2	6.4	3.9	—	●
Hospital admissions for mental health conditions	P	<18 yrs	2019/20	127.3	11/16	58.3	249.7	89.5	—	●

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

■ Better  
■ Worse  
■ Higher  
■ Similar  
■ Not compared  
■ Lower

Direction of Travel:

▼ Decreasing  
▼ Decreasing and getting better  
▼ Decreasing and getting worse  
▲ Increasing  
▲ Increasing and getting better  
▲ Increasing and getting worse  
▶ No significant change  
— Cannot be calculated

# Priority 2: Staying healthy and independent: prevention

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the staying healthy and independent: prevention priority, 4 are green, 4 are amber and 1 is red.
- Rutland performed significantly worse than England/benchmark for the following indicator:

**Population vaccination coverage (shingles) for 71 years** – Rutland is ranked 14<sup>th</sup> out of 14 in 2018/19. The latest value for Rutland is 35.9% which is significantly worse than the national average of 49.1%.

- Of the four green indicators, Rutland ranks 1<sup>st</sup> (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators: Cancer screening coverage – cervical cancer (aged 25 to 49 years old) and Cancer screening coverage – bowel cancer.
- There are currently two indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Self-reported wellbeing – people with a high anxiety score
  - Population vaccination coverage – Shingles vaccination coverage (71 years)

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 2: Staying healthy and independent: prevention

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
B19 - Loneliness: Percentage of adults who feel lonely often / always or some of the time	P	16+ yrs	2019/20	24.8	13/16	13.9	26.8	22.3		
C16 - Percentage of adults (aged 18+) classified as overweight or obese	P	18+ yrs	2019/20	65.3	11/16	55.4	69.1	62.8		
C24b - Cancer screening coverage - cervical cancer (aged 25 to 49 years old)	F	25-49 yrs	2020	79.3	1/16	79.3	72.5	70.2		
C24d - Cancer screening coverage - bowel cancer	P	60-74 yrs	2020	71.7	1/16	71.7	64.0	63.8		
C26b - Cumulative percentage of the eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health Check	P	40-74 yrs	2016/17 - 20/21	48.0	7/16	52.0	34.4	46.5		
C28d - Self-reported wellbeing - people with a high anxiety score	P	16+ yrs	2019/20	23.7	12/14	18.1	27.4	21.9		
C24a - Cancer screening coverage - breast cancer	F	53-70 yrs	2020	76.6	11/16	81.1	71.1	74.1		
D06c - Population vaccination coverage – Shingles vaccination coverage (71 years)	P	71	2018/19	35.9	14/14	60.5	35.9	49.1		
C17a - Percentage of physically active adults	P	19+ yrs	2019/20	68.6	12/16	77.3	63.5	66.4		

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

Better  
 Worse  
 Higher  
 Similar  
 Not compared  
 Lower

Direction of Travel:

Decreasing  
 Decreasing and getting better  
 Decreasing and getting worse  
 Increasing  
 Increasing and getting better  
 Increasing and getting worse  
 No significant change  
 Cannot be calculated



# Priority 3: Healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions priority, 1 is green, 1 is amber and 2 are red.
- Rutland performed significantly worse than England/benchmark for the following two indicators:

**Hip fractures in people aged 65 and over** – Rutland is ranked 16<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2019/20. The rate of hip fractures in people aged 65 and over has increased from 556 per 100,000 in 2018/19 (where Rutland performed statistically similar to the England average) to 851 per 100,000 in 2019/20.

**Excess winter deaths** – Rutland is ranked 16<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2019/20. The latest value for Rutland is 50.2% which is significantly worse than the national average of 17.4%. Previously the percentage of excess winter deaths in Rutland has remained statistically similar to the national average since 2001/02.

- There are currently three indicators where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Percentage of cancers diagnosed at stages 1 and 2
  - Hip fractures in people aged 65 and over
  - Excess winter deaths
- **Rate of unplanned hospitalisation for chronic ambulatory care sensitive conditions per 100,000 population\***- Rutland's performance for 2019/20 is 567.4 and is better than the national rate of 862.1. Rutland is ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> out of 16 against Peers.
- **Rate of emergency admissions for acute conditions that should not require hospital admission per 100,000 population\***- Rutland's performance for 2019/20 is 907.8 and is better than the national rate of 1409.4. Rutland is ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> out of 16 against Peers.
- **Percentage point difference (expressed as a percentage) in employment rate between the England population and people with a long-term condition\*** - The indicator measures the difference between: a) the percentage of people in the general working age population who are in employment, and b) the percentage of

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

people of working age with a long-term condition who are in employment. Rutland's performance for 2021 Quarter 1 is -1.3 which is better than the national value of 10.5.

- **Percentage of emergency admissions occurring within 30 days of discharge (Indirectly Standardised Rate which excludes cancer and obstetrics)\*** - Rutland's performance for 2019/20 is 12.1% and is better than the national percentage of 14.4%. Rutland is ranked 1st out of 16 against Peers.

Source:









\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 3: Healthy ageing and living well with long term conditions

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
C23 - Percentage of cancers diagnosed at stages 1 and 2	P	All ages	2018	48.7	16/16	63.7	48.7	55.0		
C29 - Emergency hospital admissions due to falls in people aged 65 and over	P	65+ yrs	2019/20	1,866.6	4/16	1,324.8	2,624.0	2,221.8		
E13 - Hip fractures in people aged 65 and over	P	65+ yrs	2019/20	850.7	16/16	482.1	850.7	571.6		
E14 - Excess winter deaths index	P	All ages	Aug 2019 - Jul 2020	50.2	16/16	10.3	50.2	17.4		

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

■ Better  
■ Worse  
■ Higher  
■ Similar  
■ Not compared  
■ Lower

Direction of Travel:

▼ Decreasing  
▼ Decreasing and getting better  
▼ Decreasing and getting worse  
▲ Increasing  
▲ Increasing and getting better  
▲ Increasing and getting worse  
▶ No significant change  
▬ Cannot be calculated

Data Source: Office for Health Improvement & Disparities  
<https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/>

Produced by Business Intelligence Service  
 Updated February 2022

# Priority 4: Ensuring equitable access to services for all Rutland residents

## Performance Summary

- The one indicator presented below for the ensuring equitable access to services for all Rutland residents priority is the Access to NHS dental services – successfully obtained a dental appointment indicator.
- The percentage of people who successfully obtained an NHS dental appointment in the last two years has increased from 91.3% in 2017/18 (where Rutland performed in the worst quintile nationally) to 95.5% in 2018/19 where it now performs in the 2<sup>nd</sup> best quintile. Rutland is ranked 6<sup>th</sup> out of 14 when compared to its nearest neighbours.

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

# Priority 5: Preparing for our growing and changing population

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for the preparing for our growing and changing population priority, 1 is green and 4 are amber. Three indicators were not suitable for comparison.
- There is currently one indicator where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Gap in the employment rate between those with a long-term health condition and the overall employment rate

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 5: Preparing for population growth and change

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
Air pollution: fine particulate matter	N/A	Not applicable	2019	9.1	13/16	5.1	9.9	9.0		
Average weekly earnings	P	16+ yrs	2020	458.0	7/14	547.1	384.8	474.4		
B08a - Gap in the employment rate between those with a long-term health condition and the overall employment rate	P	16-64 yrs	2019/20	16.0	15/16	4.2	16.2	10.6		
B12b - Violent crime - violence offences per 1,000 population	P	All ages	2020/21	13.7	1/16	13.7	34.4	29.5		
B15a - Homelessness - households owed a duty under the Homelessness Reduction Act	N/A	Not applicable	2019/20	5.8	3/16	4.0	11.4	12.3		
B17 - Fuel poverty (low income, high cost methodology)	N/A	Not applicable	2018	10.9	12/16	6.6	12.9	10.3		
B18b - Social Isolation: percentage of adult carers who have as much social contact as they would like	P	18+ yrs	2018/19	38.2	3/15	39.5	11.7	32.5		
Percentage of adults cycling for travel at least three days per week	P	16+ yrs	2019/20	1.1	11/16	3.1	0.6	2.3		

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

■ Better  
■ Worse  
■ Higher  
■ Similar  
■ Not compared  
■ Lower

Direction of Travel:

▼ Decreasing  
▼ Decreasing and getting better  
▼ Decreasing and getting worse  
▲ Increasing  
▲ Increasing and getting better  
▲ Increasing and getting worse  
▶ No significant change  
▬ Cannot be calculated

Data Source: Office for Health Improvement & Disparities  
<https://fingertips.phe.org.uk/>

Produced by Business Intelligence Service  
 Updated February 2022

# Priority 6: Ensuring people are well supported in the last phase of their lives

## Performance Summary

- Out of the four comparable indicators presented for the ensuring people are well and supported in the last phase of their lives priority, 2 are amber, 1 is higher and 1 is lower.
- Rutland performed significantly higher than England/benchmark for the following indicator:

**Percentage of deaths that occur at home** – Rutland is ranked 16<sup>th</sup> out of 16 in 2020. The proportion of deaths that occur at home (all ages) has increased from 27.6% in 2019 (where it performed statistically similar to England) to 33.9% in 2020 which is significantly higher than the national average.

- Rutland performed significantly lower than England/benchmark for the following indicator:

**Percentage of deaths that occur in hospital** – Rutland is ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> out of 16 in 2020. The proportion of deaths that occur at hospital (all ages) has decreased from 39.5% in 2019 to 33.9% in 2020. Rutland has performed significantly lower than England for this indicator since 2019.

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Priority 6: Ensuring people are well supported in the last phase of their lives

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
Percentage of deaths that occur at home	P	All ages	2020	33.9	16/16	23.0	33.9	27.4		
Percentage of deaths that occur in care homes	P	All ages	2020	27.5	9/16	20.4	32.8	23.7		
Percentage of deaths that occur in hospital	P	All ages	2020	33.9	3/16	31.5	45.4	41.9		
Temporary Resident Care Home Deaths, Persons, All Ages (%)	P	All ages	2019	42.3	14/16	22.7	46.5	36.7		

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

- Better
- Worse
- Higher
- Similar
- Not compared
- Lower

Direction of Travel:

- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- ▲ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- ▬ Cannot be calculated



# Cross Cutting Themes:

## Reducing Health Inequalities

### Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for reducing health inequalities, 3 are green and 1 is amber.
- Of the three green indicators, Rutland ranks 1<sup>st</sup> (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicators: Healthy life expectancy at birth (males) and Life expectancy at birth (males).
- There is currently one indicator where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Healthy life expectancy at birth (females)

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

## Rutland Joint Health and Wellbeing Strategy - Cross Cutting Theme: Reducing health inequalities

Ranking, Best and Worst columns are compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	Best/Lowest	Worst/Highest	England	DoT	RAG
A01a - Healthy life expectancy at birth	F	All ages	2017 - 19	63.1	15/16	68.3	59.1	63.5		
	M	All ages	2017 - 19	71.5	1/16	71.5	60.8	63.2		
A01b - Life expectancy at birth	F	All ages	2018 - 20	85.0	3/16	85.2	83.4	83.1		
	M	All ages	2018 - 20	83.2	1/16	83.2	79.6	79.4		

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

- Better
- Worse
- Higher
- Similar
- Not compared
- Lower

Direction of Travel:

- Decreasing
- Decreasing and getting better
- Decreasing and getting worse
- Increasing
- Increasing and getting better
- Increasing and getting worse
- No significant change
- Cannot be calculated

# Supporting Mental Health

## Performance Summary

- Out of all the comparable indicators presented for supporting mental health, 5 are green and 7 are amber.
- Of the three green indicators, Rutland ranks 1<sup>st</sup> (best performing) when compared to its similar neighbours for the following indicator: Emergency hospital admissions for intentional self-harm (females/persons).
- There is currently one indicator where, when compared to similar areas, Rutland performs in the bottom three (worse performing):
  - Self-reported wellbeing – people with a high anxiety score

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

# Mental Health Indicators: Rutland

Ranking column is compared to the nearest neighbours only. Rank: 1 is calculated as the best (or lowest when no polarity is applied).

Indicator				Value	Rank	England	DoT	RAG
B11 - Domestic abuse-related incidents and crimes	P	16+ yrs	2019/20	23.1	8/16	28.6	—	●
B18a - Social Isolation: percentage of adult social care users who have as much social contact as they would like	P	18+ yrs	2019/20	48.6	7/16	45.9	—	●
		65+ yrs	2019/20	45.5	11/16	43.4	▶	●
B18b - Social Isolation: percentage of adult carers who have as much social contact as they would like	P	18+ yrs	2018/19	38.2	3/15	32.5	—	●
		65+ yrs	2018/19	34.1	12/15	34.5	—	●
C14b - Emergency Hospital Admissions for Intentional Self-Harm	F	All ages	2019/20	150.4	1/16	247.2	▶	●
	M	All ages	2019/20	110.2	3/16	140.4	▶	●
	P	All ages	2019/20	128.6	1/16	192.6	▶	●
C17a - Percentage of physically active adults	P	19+ yrs	2019/20	68.6	12/16	66.4	—	●
C28d - Self-reported wellbeing - people with a high anxiety score	P	16+ yrs	2019/20	23.7	12/14	21.9	—	●
Depression: Recorded prevalence (aged 18+)	P	18+ yrs	2020/21	10.3	1/16	12.3	▲	●
Depression and anxiety among social care users: % of social care users	P	18+ yrs	2018/19	44.5	2/14	50.5	—	●
Mental Health: QOF prevalence (all ages)	P	All ages	2020/21	0.7	1/15	0.9	▶	●
Admission episodes for alcohol-related conditions (Broad): New method. This indicator uses a new set of attributable fractions, and so differ from that originally published.	F	All ages	2019/20	705.1	3/16	943.1	—	●
	M	All ages	2019/20	1,946.3	2/16	2,808.8	—	●
	P	All ages	2019/20	1,285.3	2/16	1,814.9	—	●

Statistical Significance compared to England or Benchmark:

- Better
- Worse
- Higher
- Similar
- Not compared
- Lower

Direction of Travel:


- ▼ Decreasing
- ▼ Decreasing and getting better
- ▼ Decreasing and getting worse
- ▲ Increasing
- ▲ Increasing and getting better
- ▲ Increasing and getting worse
- ▶ No significant change
- Cannot be calculated

# Covid Recovery


- **COVID-19 vaccinations (% Uptake)**

The Covid-19 vaccination uptake in Rutland is higher than England for Dose 2 and Booster/Dose 3 for those aged 12 and over as at 25<sup>th</sup> Jan 2022. The percentage uptake for Dose 1 in Rutland is lower in comparison to the national average for those aged 12 and over.

Covid-19 Vaccination Uptake in Rutland (12+)



Covid-19 Vaccination Uptake in England (12+)



Source: Coronavirus (Covid- 19) in the UK dashboard  
(<https://coronavirus.data.gov.uk/>)

- **COVID-19 Hospital Admissions at University Hospitals of Leicester (UHL)\*\***

From March 2020 to December 2021, there have been a total of 61 hospital admissions with Covid-19 at UHL from Rutland residents since the start of the pandemic. Out of the 61 admissions, 84% were in those aged over 60 and 16% were in those aged under 60. It is important to note that Rutland residents would also attend other hospitals across the border.

- **COVID-19 Deaths\*\*\***

As of week 2 in 2022, there have been a total of 83 Covid-19 deaths in Rutland. Of the total deaths involving Covid-19 in Rutland, 41 (49.4%) were in a hospital setting and 33 (39.8%) were in a care home setting.

Since the beginning of the pandemic (week 12, 2020) there have been a total of 771 deaths (all causes) in Rutland.

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

Based on the average mortality data for 2015-19 we would expect 699 deaths in Rutland for this period. This reveals an excess of 72 deaths from any cause in Rutland during this period.

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)

# Appendix 1

## Similar areas to Rutland

The Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Nearest Neighbours model seeks to measure similarity between Local Authorities. The nearest neighbours to Rutland are listed below.

Nearest CIPFA neighbours to Rutland available from fingertips include:

- Buckinghamshire UA
- Dorset
- West Berkshire
- Wiltshire
- Bath and North East Somerset
- Central Bedfordshire
- North Somerset
- Solihull
- Cheshire East
- Shropshire
- East Riding of Yorkshire
- Herefordshire
- Cornwall
- Cheshire West and Chester
- Isle of Wight

Source:

\*NHS Outcomes Framework

\*\*UHL Hospital Admissions Data

\*\*\* Office for National Statistics (ONS)



**Rutland**  
County Council

If you require information contained in this leaflet in another version e.g. large print, Braille, tape or alternative language please telephone: 0116 305 6803, Fax: 0116 305 7271 or Minicom: 0116 305 6160.

જો આપ આ માહિતી આપની ભાષામાં સમજવામાં થોડી મદદ ઇચ્છતાં હો તો 0116 305 6803 નંબર પર ફોન કરશો અને અમે આપને મદદ કરવા યત્ન કરીશું.

ਜੇਕਰ ਤੁਹਾਨੂੰ ਇਸ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਨੂੰ ਸਮਝਣ ਵਿਚ ਕੁਝ ਮਦਦ ਚਾਹੀਦੀ ਹੈ ਤਾਂ ਕਿਰਪਾ ਕਰਕੇ 0116 305 6803 ਨੰਬਰ ਤੇ ਫੋਨ ਕਰੋ ਅਤੇ ਅਸੀਂ ਤੁਹਾਡੀ ਮਦਦ ਲਈ ਕਿਸੇ ਦਾ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਕਰ ਦਵਾਂਗੇ।

এই তথ্য নিজের ভাষায় বুঝার জন্য আপনার যদি কোন সাহায্যের প্রয়োজন হয়, তবে 0116 305 6803 এই নম্বরে ফোন করলে আমরা উপযুক্ত ব্যক্তির ব্যবস্থা করবো।

اگر آپ کو یہ معلومات سمجھنے میں کچھ مدد درکار ہے تو براہ مہربانی اس نمبر پر کال کریں۔  
0116 305 6803 اور ہم آپ کی مدد کے لئے کسی کا انتظام کر دیں گے۔

假如閣下需要幫助，用你的語言去明白這些資訊，請致電 0116 305 6803，我們會安排有關人員為你提供幫助。

Jeżeli potrzebujesz pomocy w zrozumieniu tej informacji w Twoim języku, zadzwoń pod numer 0116 305 6803, a my Ci dopomożemy.

Business Intelligence Service  
Chief Executive's Department  
Leicestershire County Council  
County Hall  
Glenfield  
Leicester  
LE3 8RA  
[ri@leics.gov.uk](mailto:ri@leics.gov.uk)  
[www.lsr-online.org](http://www.lsr-online.org)